

On the width of lattice-free simplices

Jean-Michel KANTOR

I Introduction

Integral polytopes (see [Z] for the basic definitions) are of interest in combinatorics, linear programming, algebraic geometry-toric varieties [D,O], number theory [K-L.]. We study here lattice-free simplices, that is simplices intersecting the lattice only at their vertices.

A natural question is to measure the “flatness” of these polytopes, with respect to integral dual vectors. This (arithmetical) notion plays a crucial role :

- in the classification (up to affine unimodular maps) of lattice-free simplices in dimension 3 (see [O,MMM].

- in the construction of a polynomial-time algorithm for integral linear programming (flatness permits induction on the dimension, [K-L]).

Unfortunately there were no known examples (in any dimension) of lattice-free polytopes with width bigger than 2. We prove here the following

Theorem:

Given any positive number α strictly inferior to $\frac{1}{e}$, for d large enough there exists a lattice-free simplex of dimension d and width superior to αd .

The proof is non-constructive and uses replacing the search for lattice-free simplices in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ by the search for “lattice-free lattices” containing $\mathbb{Z}^d$ (“turning the problem inside out”, see par. II), specializing in the next step to lattices of a simple kind, depending on a prime number p . The existence of lattice-free simplices with big width is then deduced by elementary computations, through a sufficient inequality involving the dimension d , the width k and the prime p (see (14)).

The author thanks with pleasure H. Lenstra for crucial suggestions, V. Guillemin and I. Bernstein for comments.

Notations

$\mathcal{P}_d$: The set of integral polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^d$; if P is such a polytope, P is a convex compact set, the set $\text{Vert}(P)$ of vertices of P is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

$\mathcal{S}_d$: The set of integral simplices in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular σ_d will denote the canonical simplex with vertices at the origin and

$$e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ — 1 at the } i\text{-th coordinate —}$$

G_d : the group of affine unimodular maps:

$$G_d = \mathbb{Z}^d \rtimes GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$$

acts on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (preserving $\mathbb{Z}^d$), $\mathcal{P}_d$, and $\mathcal{S}_d$.

A d -lattice M is a lattice with

$$\mathbb{Z}^d \subset M \subset \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{Z}^d \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

II. Turning simplices inside out.

II.1. Let σ be an integral simplex of dimension d in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and L the sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ it generates:

$$L = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r m_i a_i, \quad a_i \in \text{Vert}(\sigma) \quad m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We assume for simplicity one vertex of σ at the origin. The following is obvious:

Proposition 1. i) *There exists a linear isomorphism*

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \quad \varphi(x) = y = (y_j)_{j=1,\dots,n}$$

such that

$$\varphi(\sigma) = \sigma_d.$$

It is unique up to permutation of the y_i 's, and

$$\varphi(L) = \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad \varphi(\mathbb{Z}^d) = M$$

where M is a d -lattice.

ii) *Conversely, given a d -lattice M , there exists a linear isomorphism*

$$\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$$

such that

$$\psi(M) = \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

and the image of σ_d by ψ is an integral simplex σ of dimension d corresponding to M as in i).

All d -lattices generate $\mathbb{R}^d$ as vector space over $\mathbb{R}$, and the Proposition is an easy consequence of this.

Remarks.

-Because φ is an isomorphism, the following indices are equal

$$[\mathbb{Z}^d : L] = [M : \mathbb{Z}^d].$$

The determinant of the lattice L is classically the volume of the parallelotope built on a basis of L . If σ generates L as above,

$$(1) \quad \text{vol}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{d!} \det L$$

$$(2) \quad \det M = \frac{1}{d! \text{vol} \sigma}.$$

-Proposition 1 has a straightforward extension to the case of two lattices L and M with

$$L \subset M \subset \frac{1}{p}L$$

II.2. Lattice-free simplices and their width

Recall the following [K, K-L].

Definition 1. An integral polytope P in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is *lattice-free* if

$$P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \text{Vert}(P)$$

Definition 2. Given an integral non-zero vector u in $(\mathbb{Z}^d)^\star$, the u -width of the polytope P of $\mathcal{P}_d$ is defined by

$$w_u(P) = \max_{x,y \in P} \langle u, x - y \rangle .$$

The *width* of P is

$$(4) \quad w(P) = \inf_{\substack{u \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^\star \\ u \neq 0}} w_u(P).$$

Remark :The width is the minimal length of all integral projections $u(P)$ for non-zero u .

II.3 Known results on the width of lattice-free polytopes in dimension d :

$d = 2$:

Lattice-free simplices are all integral triangles of area $1/2$;they are equivalent to σ_2 .This is elementary.

$d = 3$

Lattice-free polytopes have width one; in the case of simplices, this result has various proofs and applications (it is known sometimes as the “terminal lemma”, see [F, M-S, O, Wh]).

$d = 4$

All lattice-free simplices have at least one basic facet (face with codimension one) [W] -this fact is not true in higher dimensions.

Examples : There exist some interesting examples:

-L.Schläfli 's polytopes,studied by Coxeter [C];

-A recent example given by H.Scarf [private communication]:the simplex in dimension 5 with vertices the origin,the first four vectors e_i and for last vertex $(23, 39, 31, 43, 57)$,has width 3.

- We have found with the help of a computer ,some examples of width 2,3 and 4 in dimension 4 and 5[F-K].

No other result seems to be known, apart from the following asymptotic result:

Proposition 2. *There exists a universal constant C such that for any lattice-free polytope of dimension d*

$$(5) \quad w(P) \leq C d^2.$$

Proof. The “Flatness Theorem” of [K-L] asserts that there exists C such that any convex compact set K in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with

$$K \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \phi$$

satisfies

$$(6) \quad w(K) \leq C d^2$$

where w is defined as in I.2.

If P is any lattice-free polytope, take a point a in the relative interior of P and apply the previous Flatness Theorem to the homothetic $\tilde{P}$ of P with respect to a and fixed ratio α strictly less than one. Then formula (4) shows that the width of P , which is proportional to the width of $\tilde{P}$, is also bounded by a function of type (6).

Remark : Recent results of [B] show that (5) is true with a right hand side proportional to $d \log d$.

II.4. Turning the width inside out

Let us first define a new norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$: If

$$x = (x_1 \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_i |x_i|$$

Define

$$(7) \quad \|x\| = \sup_i (0, x_i) - \inf_i (0, x_i)$$

It is the support function of the following symmetric convex compact set in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^\star$:

$$K = \sigma_d - \sigma_d$$

(see [O], p.182).

Lemma 1. $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm, and

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\| \leq 2\|x\|_\infty$$

From now on (σ, M) are as in Proposition 1. We can identify the dual of the lattice M with a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}^d)^\star$:

$$\mathbb{Z}^d \subset M, \implies M^\star \subset (\mathbb{Z}^d)^\star$$

$$\xi \in M^\star : \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^\star$$

Definition 3. Let

$$(8) \quad w(M) = \inf_{\substack{\xi \in M^\star \\ \xi \neq 0}} \|\xi\|$$

Then we have

Proposition 3.

$$w(\sigma) = w(M)$$

Proof. The isomorphism φ changes σ into σ_d , linear forms u on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ into linear forms on M , and

$$w_\xi(\sigma_d) = \sup_{x \in \sigma_d} (\xi, x) - \inf_{y \in \sigma_d} (\xi, y)$$

$$= \sup_i (0, \xi_i) - \inf_i (0, \xi_i)$$

$$= \|\xi\|.$$

II.5. With notations as in II.1, we have

$$\sigma \cap \mathbb{Z}^d = \text{Vert } \sigma \iff M \cap \sigma_d = \text{Vert}(\sigma_d)$$

We can conclude this part by asserting that the existence of an integral lattice-free simplex of dimension d , volume $v/d!$ and width at least k is equivalent with the existence of a d -lattice M , containing $\mathbb{Z}^d$, with

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} M \cap \sigma_d = \text{Vert } \sigma_d \\ w(M) \geq k \\ \det(M) = \frac{1}{v}. \end{cases}$$

III. In search of lattice-free simplices (asymptotically)

III.1. We restrict our study to d -lattices of type:

$$(10) \quad M(y) = \mathbb{Z}^d + \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{p} y \quad y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \quad M \neq \mathbb{Z}^d$$

where p is a prime number; clearly this lattice depends only on the class of y in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^d$.

Lemma 2 The set of lattices M (for a fixed p) can be identified with the space of lines in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^d$.

In particular the number of such lattices is

$$(11) \quad m(d, p) = \frac{p^d - 1}{p - 1}.$$

Let $f(d, p)$ be the number of lattices M such as (10) satisfying

$$(12) \quad M \cap \check{\sigma}_d \neq \emptyset$$

where

$$\check{\sigma}_d = \sigma_d \setminus \text{Vert}(\sigma_d).$$

(The lattice M intersects σ_d in other points than the vertices).

Lemma 3.

$$f(d, p) \leq \frac{(p+1) \dots (p+d)}{d!} - (d+1).$$

Proof.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x \in \mathbb{M}(y) \cap \check{\sigma}_d \\ x = z + \frac{my}{p} \end{array} \right\} \implies (m, p) = 1.$$

Writing $\frac{my}{p}$ as the sum of an integral vector and a remainder we get

$$\begin{aligned} x &= z + z' + \frac{\tilde{y}}{p}, \quad 0 \leq \tilde{y}_i < p, \quad \tilde{y}_i \in \mathbb{N} \\ x &\in \check{\sigma}_d \\ \implies z + z' &= 0. \\ x &= \frac{\tilde{y}}{p}, \\ \tilde{y} &\in p\check{\sigma}_d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \end{aligned}$$

But the vectors $y, my, \tilde{y}$ define the same line in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^d$. This shows that the number of lattices $M(y)$ satisfying (12) is less than the number of points in $p\check{\sigma}_d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$, given by the right hand side of the lemma [E].

Let now $g(d, p, k)$ be the number of lattices $M(y)$ as in (10) with

$$w(M(y)) \leq k.$$

Lemma 4.

$$(13) \quad g(p, d, k) \leq 2[(k+1)^{d+1} - k^{d+1}]p^{d-2}.$$

Proof:

The assumption on the lattice means the existence of a vector ξ in $\mathbb{Z}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &\neq 0 \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_d), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \\ \sum \xi_i y_i &\in p\mathbb{Z} \\ \|\xi\| \leq k &\implies \|\xi\|_\infty \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

The number of integral points ξ of norm less or equal to k is

$$n(k, d) = (k+1)^{d+1} - k^{d+1}$$

[Proof :

Let

$$m = \inf_i (0, \xi_i) M = \sup_i (0, \xi_i)$$

The possible values of m are

$$m = -k, \dots, -1, 0$$

a/For all values except 0 one of the x_i has value m , and the others can take any value between m and $m+k$. For each m the number of possibilities is equal to

$$S_1 = [k+1]^d - k^d$$

b/When

$$m = 0$$

all x'_i 's are non-negative, and the contribution is

$$S_2 = [k + 1]^d$$

Adding up the contributions we get

$$n(k, d) = k[(k + 1)^d - k^d] + (k + 1)^d = (k + 1)^{d+1} - k^{d+1}.$$

Going back to the proof of Lemma 4, choose a vector ξ with norm smaller than k (strictly less than p): this implies that the linear form defined by ξ :

$$\hat{\xi} : (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

is surjective, and its kernel has p^{d-1} elements; the number of corresponding lattices is

$$r(p, d) = \frac{p^{d-1} - 1}{p - 1} \leq 2p^{d-2}.$$

We can choose at most $n(k, d)$ vectors ξ .

Hence, by the mean value theorem,

$$(13) \quad g(p, d, k) \leq 2[(k + 1)^{d+1} - k^{d+1}]p^{d-2} \leq 2(d + 1)(k + 1)^d p^{d-2}.$$

III.3. From Lemmas 3 and 4 we conclude that for large d and k the following condition

$$(14) \quad 2(d + 1)(k + 1)^d p^{d-2} + \frac{(p + d)^d}{d!} < p^{d-1}$$

ensures the existence of a lattice $M(y)$ of width greater than k , dimension d , and

$$M(y) \subset \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}^d.$$

The following is well-known :

Lemma 5.

Given any sequence of numbers (a_d) going to infinity, there exists an equivalent sequence (p_d) of prime numbers.

Proof : Given ε strictly positive we know from the prime number theorem that for d large enough there exists a prime number p_d in the interval $[(1 - \varepsilon)a_d, (1 + \varepsilon)a_d]$. This implies

$$|p_d - a_d| < \varepsilon a_d$$

for d large enough.

Choose now α arbitrary -we will soon fix it - and a sequence (p_d) of primes with

$$p_d \sim \alpha d!$$

and let us find α and a sequence (k_d) such that

$$(15) \quad 2(d + 1)(k_d + 1)^d p_d^{d-2} < \frac{1}{2} p_d^{d-1}$$

$$(16) \quad \frac{(p_d + d)^d}{d!} < \frac{1}{2} p_d^{d-1}$$

These two conditions imply (14)

The condition (16) is satisfied for large enough d if

$$\alpha < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Indeed

$$p_d + d \sim \alpha d!;$$

since

$$\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$$

(16) follows if we can show that

$$(1 + d/p)^{d-1} \rightarrow 1$$

$$d \rightarrow \infty)$$

where

$$p = p_d$$

But

$$\log(1 + d/p)^{d-1} \leq (d-1)d/p \sim d^2/\alpha d! \rightarrow 0$$

Then (15) becomes

$$k_d + 1 < \left[\frac{1}{4(d+1)} p_d \right]^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

This last expression is equivalent ,because of Stirling's formula , to $\frac{d}{e}$.Hence if we choose any sequence of integral numbers (k_d) with

$$k_d < \beta d$$

with

$$(17) \quad 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{e}$$

then (15) and (16) are satisfied for large d .

Theorem. *For any β strictly less than $1/e$, there exists for sufficiently large d a sequence of lattice-free simplices of dimension d and width w_d ,*

$$w_d > \beta d.$$

Defining

$$w(d) = \sup_{\sigma} w(\sigma)$$

supremum taken over all lattice-free simplices of dimension d ,then the previous Theorem amounts to :

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(d)}{d} \geq \frac{1}{e}$$

Final Remark. The study above raises the hope of improving the bounds on the maximal width, by introducing more general lattices generated by a finite number of rational vectors, and replacing the prime p by powers in (10) (Note the study of general lattices of such type in [Sh]). Unfortunately -and rather mysteriously- our computations in these new cases give the **same** bounds.

References

- [B] Banaszczyk - Litvak - Pajor A. Szarek The flatness theorem, the Gaussian projection and analogues of the reverse Santalo inequality for non-symmetric convex bodies
- [C] Coxeter H.S.M. The polytope 2_{21} whose 27 vertices correspond to the lines on the generic cubic surface, American J.M.62;457-486,1940.
- [D] Dais D., Enumerative combinatorics of invariants of certain complex threefolds with trivial canonical bundle, Dissertation, Bonn 1994.
- [E] L.Euler Introductio in analysis infinitorum, Vol.1, Ch.16 (1748), 253-257
- [F] Frumkin H., Description of elementary three-dimensional polyhedra. Conference on statistical and discrete analysis, Alma Ata, 1981 (Russian).
- [F.K.] Fermigier S. - Kantor J.M., Exemples de grande épaisseur, Paris 1997.
- [K] Kantor J.M. Triangulations of integral polytopes and Ehrhart polynomials, Beiträge für Algebra und geometrie, 1997.
- [K.L.] Kannan R. - Lovasz L., Covering minima and lattice-point free convex bodies, Ann.of Mathematics, 128, 577-602, 1988.
- [MMM] Mori K. - Morrison D. - Morrison I., On four dimensional terminal quotient singularities, Mathematics of computation 51, 1988, N° 184, 769-786.
- [M S] Morrison D. - Stevens G., Terminal quotient singularities in dimension three and four, Proceedings of the Amer. Math. Soc. 90, 1984, N° 1, 15-20.
- [O.] Oda T., Convex bodies and algebraic geometry, Ergeb. Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [S] Scarf H.E. Integral polyhedra in three space Math. Oper. res. 10-403-438, 1985.
- [Sh] Shimura G. Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions, Princeton Univ. Press 1971
- [W.] Wessels, Die Sätze von White ..Diplomarbeit, Bochum 1989.
- [Wh] White G.K., Lattice tetrahedra, Canad. Journal of math. 16 (1961), 389-396.
- [Z] Ziegler G. Lectures on polytopes, Springer-Verlag ,GTM 152,1996

Jean-Michel Kantor
 Centre de Mathématiques de Jussieu
 Université Paris 7
 Tour 46 5e étage Boite 247
 4, place Jussieu
 F-75252 PARIS CEDEX 05